UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ALEXANDRIA DIVISION

AMDOCS (ISRAEL) LIMITED, an Israeli
Corporation,

Plaintiff,

V.

OPENET TELECOM, INC., a Delaware
Corporation, and OPENET TELECOM LTD., an Irish Corporation,

Defendants.

Defendants.

PLAINTIFF AMDOCS' OBJECTIONS AND COUNTER-DESIGNATIONS TO OPENET TELECOM, INC. AND OPENET TELECOM LTD.'S DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS AND WITNESS LIST

Pursuant to the parties' agreement and scheduling order in this matter, Plaintiff Amdocs (Israel) Ltd. ("Amdocs") hereby objects to Defendants Openet Telecom, Inc. and Openet Telecom Ltd.'s (collectively, "Openet") deposition designations and witness list contained in Openet Telecom, Inc. and Openet Telecom Ltd.'s Rule 26(a)(3) Pre-Trial Disclosures served on May 13, 2011.

Regarding Openet's witness list, Amdocs reserves the right to file motions in limine and/or *Daubert* motions in accordance with this court's scheduling orders.

Amdocs counterdesignates and objects to the below-listed testimony in response to Openet's designations as follows:

Witness	Deposition Date	Defendant's Direct Designations	Plaintiff's Objections	Plaintiff's Counter- Designations
Tal Givoly	February 10, 2011	8:7-24		2 03.8
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	9:17-10:4		
		23:3-25:10		
		51:24-52:25	R, 403, L	
		56:9-57:18	R, 403, L	
		87:18-87:22	11, 100, 2	88:5-90:13;
		07110 0712		111:2-15
		96:12-96:14		96:16-20
		100:22-101:20		70110 20
		101:22-102:4		
		124:4-124:9	R, 403, L	
		137:5-137:25	R, 403, L	131:8-137:4
		194:2-198:11	11, 103, 12	131.0 137.1
		220:12-25		
		221:3-19		
		225:6-226:20		226:21-227:8
	February 11, 2011	446:12-447:22	R, 403, L	220.21-227.0
	1 Columny 11, 2011	448:23-450:5	K, 403, L	
		456:11-457:15	Speculation	
		477:8-479:3	R, 403, L	476:15-477:7;
				479:4-13
		481:7-18	R, 403, L	481:19-24
	March 3, 2011	530:3-10		
		636:12-642:6		
	April 26, 2011	5:7-14		
		6:18-7:10	R, 403	
		20:13-21:3	R, 403	
		57:12-60:25	R, 403	
		66:8-10		
		70:12-71:18	R, 403	
Limor Schweitzer	February 24, 2011	9:13		
		29:10-30:25		31:1-32:22
		96:20-97:20		31.1 32.22
		101:19-102:13		101:4-18
		103:6-18		101.1.10
		104:23-106:25	106:1-4 leading	
		10 11.25 100.25	Assumes facts not in evidence	
		107:4-20		
		109:15-110:7		110:21-111:6
		128:13-130:1	R, 403, L	

Witness	Deposition Date	Defendant's Direct	Plaintiff's Objections	Plaintiff's Counter-
		Designations	D 400 Y	Designations
		131:17-132:11	R, 403, L	132:12-20
		135:13-19	R, 403, L,	
			speculation	
		135:21-135:25	R, 403, L,	
			speculation	
		142:25-143:24	R, 403, L	
		156:19-159:25	R, 403, L	
Udi Hershkovich	February 9, 2011	7:4-23		
		46:20-47:14		47:18-48:10
		48:15-21		
		50:24-52:24		50:1-4; 50:14-23; 53:8-22
		59:16-61:5		54:25-55:20; 55:25-57:12 57:17-59:3 61:6-65:3 66:2-67:1 68:1-69:22
		94:16-22	R, L	
		96:23-97:4	R, L	
		97:07	R, L	
		106:9-108:24	,	
		138:25-139:12		138:6-24
Zur Yahalom	February 24, 2011	7:11-8:14		
	, , ,	41:17-21		
		42:1-6		
		42:8-16		
		112:19-113:4	R	14:5-19
		113:6-7	R, F	14:5-19
		226:15-227:3	R, 403	11.5 17
		227:5-7	R, 403	
Niall Byrne	February 3, 2011	7:2-7	H, R	
	1 cordary 3, 2011	11:11-17		
		13:2-3	\dashv	
		84:21-86:6	\dashv	
Noel	March 9, 2011	8:9-20	H, R	
O'Rafferty	Widicii 9, 2011			
		28:7-14	_	
		31:1-7		

AMDOCS' OBJECTION KEY FOR DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS

- **H** = **Hearsay.** Amdocs objects to this testimony because it constitutes or contains hearsay and no exception applies. (Fed. R. Evid. 801 & 802)
- L = Conclusion of Law. Amdocs objects to this testimony because it contains conclusions of law.
- $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{Foundation}$. Amdoes objects to this testimony on the ground that the foundation necessary for its admission has not been laid and is not laid in the testimony itself. (Fed. R. Evid. 602)
- **403** = **Unfair Prejudice.** Amdocs objects to this testimony because its probative value is substantially outweighed by unfair prejudice and/or confusion of the issues. (Fed. R. Evid. 403)
- $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Relevance}$. Amdocs objects to this testimony because it is not relevant to any issue to be decided in this case. (Fed. R. Evid. 401 & 402)

Plaintiff reserves the right to amend and/or supplement these objections and/or counterdesignations prior to trial. Plaintiff reserves the right to further object to any designated testimony based on rulings on trial motions and summary judgment/Markman motions not yet filed, or for any other reason.

Dated: May 20, 2011 Respectfully submitted,

/s/

Gregory H. Lantier (Bar. No. 65657)
James L. Quarles III (Bar No. 44993)
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
HALE AND DORR LLP
1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006

Phone: (202) 663-6000 Fax: (202) 663-6363

Nels T. Lippert, pro hac vice Calvin Walden, pro hac vice Laura A. Sheridan, pro hac vice Victor F. Souto, pro hac vice WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP 399 Park Avenue New York, New York 10022

Phone: (212) 230-8800 Fax: (212) 230-8888

Attorneys for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 20th day of May, 2011, I served on the following counsel for Openet Telecom, Inc. and Openet Telecom, Ltd. via ECF the foregoing Plaintiff Amdocs' Objections and Counter-Designations to Openet Telecom, Inc. and Openet Telecom Ltd.'s Deposition Designations and Witness List:

Brian H. Pandya, Esq. Wiley Rein LLP 1776 K Street NW Washington, DC 20006

_/s/____

Gregory H. Lantier (Bar. No. 65657) WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP 1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20006

Phone: (202) 663-6000 Fax: (202) 663-6363

Attorney for Plaintiff